

Message

---

**From:** Daguillard, Robert [Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 4/5/2018 5:39:43 PM  
**To:** Strauss, Linda [Strauss.Linda@epa.gov]; Dieu, Martin [Dieu.Martin@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Robert - hold on this -- FW: Info on risk assessment and glyphosate for European Parliament's Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (ENVI)

Thanks, both.

Cheers, R.

Robert Daguillard  
Office of Media Relations  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Washington, DC  
+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)  
+1 (202) 360-0476 (M)

---

**From:** Strauss, Linda  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 05, 2018 1:37 PM  
**To:** Daguillard, Robert <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov>; Dieu, Martin <Dieu.Martin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Robert - hold on this -- FW: Info on risk assessment and glyphosate for European Parliament's Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (ENVI)

Robert, don't send this yet. OITA is making sure there are no issues. I only sent to Martin last night. I can't find the email but understand that the europarl rep is not happy that it's taking so long and wants it ASAP/this week. I'll try to find that email.

---

**From:** Strauss, Linda  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 05, 2018 8:30 AM  
**To:** Dieu, Martin <Dieu.Martin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Info on risk assessment and glyphosate for European Parliament's Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (ENVI)

I passed these along to Nancy Beck, my DAA She thought the responses were very good.

Let me know if any issues and if I can pass on to OPA.

Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 4, 2018, at 11:09 PM, Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Martin. I'll read it too.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 4, 2018, at 8:49 PM, Dieu, Martin <Dieu.Martin@epa.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Linda - hadn't seen this. I'll go over it in AM and check in with you.

Sent from my mobile device

On Apr 4, 2018, at 6:55 PM, Strauss, Linda <[Strauss.Linda@epa.gov](mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hi Martin, I don't know if OPA already shared this request with you. I rec'd the Q and A's (attached) this am from OPP, and I have not reviewed them.

ENVI wants to use the information "for parliamentary committees and not for the media. We publish our studies on the European Parliament's Think Tank." OPA determined that this is press.

OPP says they are not pleased that we are late with our responses. I will take a look in the AM but would appreciate your thoughts. I just also passed on to my DAA's.

**Redacted**

**From:** Daguiard, Robert  
**Sent:** Monday, December 04, 2017 10:46 AM  
**To:** Jones, Enesta <[Jones.Enesta@epa.gov](mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov)>; Overstreet, Anne <[overstreet.anne@epa.gov](mailto:overstreet.anne@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Strauss, Linda <[Strauss.Linda@epa.gov](mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: interview with EPA on 'risk assessment' of pesticides

Good morning all,

I recommend looping in OITA and, perhaps, OCIR, for awareness, since the query comes from a European Parliament employee. Please advise.

**Redacted**

**Date:** November 30, 2017 at 1:13:32 PM EST  
**To:** "Jones, Enesta" <[Jones.Enesta@epa.gov](mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov)>

# Redacted

Dear Enesta,

Thank you very much for coming back to me so quickly.

We request this interview in the context of a big research project on evaluation of public policies in support of the European Parliament's Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (ENVI). More precisely, the European Parliament (EU level legislator) is scrutinizing the implementation of the various element of EU Regulation 1107/2009 on the placing of plant protection products on the market. The European Parliamentary Research Service, EPRS (analogue of the US Congressional Research Service) is organizing the research evidence for the work of the parliamentary Committee on the implementation of this piece of legislation.

Approval of active substances, including 'risk assessment' by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), is an element of Regulation 1107/2009 that we focus on, especially as regards EFSA's work on glyphosate. Part of our project is also to study the work of other 'risk assessors' worldwide who 'risk assessed' glyphosate, e.g. the US EPA, and the relevant authorities in Canada and Australia. Knowing more about the US model would allow us to make a comparison with the EU model, and this is why we need to talk to EPA officials who have worked on glyphosate. Anonymity of the interviewees will be guaranteed. We can discuss it further, if you have specific requirement as regards anonymity. Dr Dovile Rimkute (in Cc), who will take the interview, could explain further how the conversation will go.

To sum up, we prepare research evidence for parliamentary committees and not for the media. We publish our studies on the European Parliament's [Think Tank](#). Our research findings help Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) to take evidence-based decisions in their legislative work. You can see [here](#) an example of a study that our Unit published recently on the implementation of the 7<sup>th</sup> Environment Action Programme (the long term strategy of the EU and its Member States in the field of environment and climate by 2020 and beyond), which might be of a general interest to the work of the US Environment Protection Agency. This study informed the MEPs on the state-of-play (mid-term review) of the implementation of the 7<sup>th</sup> EAP. MEPs are using our findings extensively in their draft implementation report (attached here). The study was presented in front of the ENVI Committee this Tuesday (28/11) and the draft report was considered by MEPs same day (see [here](#) items 14 and 15 of the ENVI agenda). The same will happen with the study on pesticides when published at the beginning of 2018.

I hope this brings more clarity.

Let me know, if you need me explain further.

Kind regards,

**Redacted**

<Glyphosate European Parliament 4 4 18.docx>